

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/848,339	05/04/2001	Gideon Fostick	Q63704	6762	
7590 SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAM	EXAMINER	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213		C OLI IO, I LLIC	DANIEL JR, WILLIE J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/848,339	FOSTICK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
WILLIE J. DANIEL JR	2617	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 11 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. Q The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 a) Metal The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fear have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any aremed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(d).
NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

	NOTE:	(See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).				
4.	The amendments	are not in compliance	e with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached	Notice of Non-Comp	oliant Amendment (PT	OL-324

Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the

non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) x will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: NONE

Claim(s) rejected: 20-27,29-42 and 44-49.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

3. [he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered	
	ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and	d
	vas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	

- The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ___

/Charles N. Appiah/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

- Applicant's arguments filed 11 March 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's arguments as the applied reference(s) provide more than adequate support and to further clarify (see the comments in this section and Final Action mailed on 11 December 2007).
- 2. Regarding applicant's argument of claims 20 and 35 in the paragraph bridging pgs. 9-10. "...fails to disclose the uses of the content of an SMS message to select an appropriate alternative handling instruction." the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant has failed to appreciate the teachings of well-known prior an Takala that clearly discloses the claimed feature(s) as would be clearly recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art. As a note, applicant did not argue the other feature(s) of claim(s) 20 and 35 in which the Examiner interprets that applicant must agree that the other features are met by the applied reference(s). In particular, Takala discloses the language as related to the claimed feature(s) wherein there are a plurality of alternative handling instructions set up for the message receiver (DTE), and wherein a definition which reads on the claimed feature(s) wherein (see pg. 6. lines 12-36; pg. 7. lines 14-36), where the user has definitions that correspond to specific subscriber identifiers which provide different A-subscribers with information from the different fields and the identifiers are contained within the message. Therefore, as addressed above, the applied reference(s) more than adequately meets the claim limitations.
- Furthermore, the Examiner requests clarification as to what constitutes the claimed limitation "...content..." as recited in amended claims 20 and 35. The specification on pg. 13, line(s) 22, basically states "...contents..." but lacks an explanation as to what is included or excluded.
- 4. Regarding applicant's comment(s) of claims 21-27, 29-34, 36-42, and 44-49, the claims are addressed for the same reasons as set forth above and as applied in each claim rejection of the Final Action.